

PUBLIC RECORD STATEMENT

Geton Ecosystem, GROSH Voucher Mechanism, and Subsequent Technical Interfaces

Ljubljana / International — December 2025

Purpose of This Record

This document provides a **factual and technical clarification** of how the Geton ecosystem and the GROSH voucher mechanism operated during their period of activity.

It is published as a **neutral public record** to support accurate understanding by courts, tax authorities, journalists, researchers, and users.

This statement:

- is **not marketing**,
 - is **not an investment offer**,
 - does **not constitute legal, tax, or financial advice**,
 - and does **not assert claims, defenses, or entitlements**.
-

What Geton Was — and Was Not

Geton was **not**:

- an investment fund,
- a bank,
- a profit-guaranteeing scheme,
- or a system offering guaranteed liquidity or returns.

Geton functioned as a **tokenized digital ecosystem** in which users:

- acquired and exchanged digital assets,
- transacted **peer-to-peer**,
- and used GROSH vouchers as a **procedural settlement unit** when converting value between users and external rails.

At no point did Geton:

- promise returns,
 - guarantee liquidity,
 - or act as a counterparty providing payout capital.
-

The GROSH Voucher Mechanism — Technical Clarification

GROSH vouchers were **not an investment product**.

They served as a **legal and technical instrument** to:

- represent user-held value inside the system,
- enable **peer-to-peer settlement** between users,
- and prevent unlawful handling of fiat balances by a non-bank entity.

Fiat Deposits and Withdrawals

- **Deposits** represented users acquiring value from other users.
- **Withdrawals** represented users selling previously acquired value to other users.
- Geton operated as:
 - transaction processor,
 - ledger operator,
 - and fee collector.

When demand for vouchers declined, conversions slowed accordingly.

This reflected **market liquidity conditions**, not a platform obligation or failure.

Transaction Structure (Critical Distinction)

All value movement followed a **User ↔ User** structure.

There was:

- no pooled investment capital,
- no central profit reservoir,
- and no platform-funded payouts.

Fiat transfers constituted **exchange settlement proceeds**, not:

- rewards,
 - interest,
 - or investment income generated by Geton.
-

Why Misinterpretation Occurred

The system combined:

- novel token distribution mechanics,
- peer-to-peer settlement logic,
- and strict legal constraints uncommon at the time.

Subsequent confusion was amplified by:

- third-party promoters,
- informal ROI-style language,
- and retrospective assumptions inconsistent with system design.

These representations were **external to the platform's documentation and guarantees**.

Complexity and novelty explain misunderstanding — **not deception**.

Blockchain Architecture (Corrected Record)

Grossus Blockchain

Grossus Blockchain operated as:

- a single-validator transaction ledger,
- SQL-backed for deterministic auditability,
- with public explorer access for transaction verification.

It was **not** presented as a decentralized public blockchain.

Public Blockchains

Core ecosystem assets:

- were deployed as ERC-20 tokens on Ethereum,
- stored in system-controlled cold wallets,
- and remain publicly verifiable on-chain.

Grossus Blockchain mirrored balances for operational usability and traceability.

Historical Continuity Note

Digital assets created during the Geton / Grossus Blockchain era **continue to exist** across independent wallets and public blockchains.

Their ongoing presence reflects:

- historical issuance,
- peer-to-peer custody,
- and public blockchain persistence.

It does **not** indicate:

- active platform operation,
 - continuing obligations,
 - or revival of the former system.
-

Documentation and Independent Verification

The following records remain available:

- transaction logs,
- blockchain wallet histories,
- user balance states,
- fee and accounting records.

These materials are consolidated in a **Public Record Hub** to ensure consistent, verifiable reference.

Present Context

Today, Milan Kozlevcar operates solely as a **Web3 Infrastructure & Incentive Architect**, focused on designing systems that withstand technical, legal, and regulatory scrutiny.

Separate technical interfaces may exist that allow **voluntary, irreversible token actions** under new protocols.

Such interfaces:

- are optional,
 - do not provide compensation,
 - do not imply recovery,
 - and do not represent continuation of the former platform.
-

Closing Statement

This record exists to **replace speculation with verifiable facts**.

Geton did not fail due to guaranteed promises that were never made.

It slowed as a consequence of **market liquidity dynamics**, which are inherent to peer-to-peer systems.

History cannot be altered — but it can be **accurately documented**.

Further documentation is available at the Official Public Record Hub:

[<https://grossusblockchain.com>]

Media Contact:

press@grossusblockchain.com

<https://grossusblockchain.com>